A rotating differential accelerometer for testing the equivalence principle in space: results from laboratory tests of a ground prototype
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We have proposed to test the equivalence principle (EP) in low Earth orbit with a rapidly rotating differential accelerometer (made of weakly coupled concentric test cylinders) whose rotation provides high frequency signal modulation and avoids severe limitations otherwise due to operation at room temperature [9]. Although the accelerometer has been conceived for best performance in absence of weight, we have designed, built and tested a variant of it at 1-g. Here we report the results of measurements performed so far. Losses measured with the full system in operation yield a quality factor only 4 times smaller than the value required for the proposed high accuracy EP test in space. Unstable whirl motions, which are known to arise in the system and might be a matter of concern, are found to grow as slowly as predicted and can be stabilized. The capacitance differential read-out (the mechanical parts, electronics and software for data analysis) is in all similar to what is needed in the space experiment. Once scaled down for the stiffer mechanical coupling of the test cylinders on the ground as compared to the weaker one that is possible in absence of weight, it is found that the sensitivity of the ground system is a factor 100 short of what is required for the space instrument. The limiting factors have been identified and instrument improvements are underway in order to fill this gap.

I. INTRODUCTION

The equivalence principle (EP) stated by Galileo, reformulated by Newton and reexamined by Einstein to become the founding principle of General Relativity, can be tested from its most direct consequence: the universality of free fall (UFF), whereby all bodies fall with the same acceleration regardless of their mass and composition. The most accurate EP experiments have been carried out on the ground with test bodies suspended on a torsion balance, finding no violation to about $10^{-13}$ [1–3]. Test bodies in low Earth orbit are subject to a driving gravitational (and inertial) acceleration much stronger than on torsion balances on the ground, by about 3 orders of magnitude. Moreover, the absence of weight is ideal in small force experiments. As a consequence, space missions can potentially improve by several orders of magnitude the current sensitivity in EP tests. Three such experiments are being considered, and the goals are: $10^{-15}$ for the French $\mu$SCOPE [4, 5], $10^{-17}$ for the Italian “GALILEO GALILEI” (GG) [6–9], $10^{-18}$ for the American STEP [10–13] (however, STEP studies within the European Space Agency are consistent with a goal of $10^{-17}$ [11, 12]). $\mu$SCOPE and GG are room temperature experiments, STEP is cryogenic at very low temperature.

In all the proposed space experiments the test bodies are hollow cylinders one inside the other, with their centers of mass as close as possible for classical differential effects (such as tides) to be reduced. However, in spite of the different arrangement of the test bodies needed in space, the main features of the ground apparatus which have so far provided the best sensitivity should be retained. The most relevant of such features is the differential nature of the torsion balance, which makes it ideally insensitive to common mode effects. Its implementation by Eötvös at the end of the 19th century has provided a major improvement, by about 3 orders of magnitude, over previous pendulum tests of the EP. However, Eötvös tested the universality of free fall in the field of the Earth, therefore looking for a constant (DC) anomalous acceleration in the North-South direction of the plane of the horizon. Another major improvement (by about 3 more orders of magnitude) was made possible in the 60s and 70s [15, 16] when a torsion balance was used to search for a deviation from UFF in the field of the Sun, in which case the diurnal rotation of the Earth itself provides a 24-hr modulation of the expected signal. Further improvements on the torsion balance, including its rotation faster than the diurnal rotation of the Earth (at $\approx 1$ hr period) and consequent modulation of the signal at higher frequency, have provided the most sensitive tests so far [1–3].

It seems therefore appropriate, for an EP experiment in space, that the instrument be designed as a rotating differential accelerometer made of concentric test cylinders, thus leading naturally to a spacecraft of cylindrical symmetry too, and co-rotating with the test cylinders. If the axis of symmetry is the axis of maximum moment of inertia, one-axis rotation provides (passive) spacecraft attitude stabilization. This is how the GG space experiment for testing the EP in the field of the Earth has been designed: the concentric test cylinders spin around the symmetry axis at a rather high frequency (2 Hz with respect to the center of the Earth) and are sensitive to differential effects in the plane perpendicular to the spin/symmetry axis. A cylindrical spacecraft encloses, in a nested configuration,
a cylindrical cage with the test cylinders inside, and is stabilized by rotation around the symmetry axis. As shown in Fig.1, an EP violation in the field of the Earth would generate a signal of constant amplitude (for zero orbital eccentricity) whose direction is always pointing to the center of the Earth, hence changing orientation with the orbital period of the satellite. The read-out, also rotating with the system, will therefore modulate an EP violation signal at its spin frequency.

We have designed and built a differential, rotating accelerometer similar to the one proposed for the GG space experiment. It is a full scale prototype devoted to testing the main features of the proposed instrument, in spite of the fact that the local acceleration of gravity is about 8 orders of magnitude bigger than the largest disturbances the accelerometer would be subject to in space (due to the residual air drag and to solar radiation pressure). Here we describe the ground apparatus, show how it is operated and report the results obtained from measurement data so far. To conclude, we discuss the relevance of these results in view of the GG target sensitivity.

II. DESIGN OF THE APPARATUS

A schematic view of the apparatus is given in Fig.2, where a section through the spin/symmetry axis of the accelerometer is shown (enclosed by the vacuum chamber). Fig.3 shows a picture of the accelerometer mounted inside the chamber. In Fig.2 the chamber and the frame (not rotating) on which the whole rotor is mounted are drawn in gray. The test cylinders are drawn in green (the inner one) and blue (the outer one). On the top of the frame (at its center) is a shaft turning inside ball bearings (sketched as “x” in the section of Fig.2) to which rotation is transmitted from the motor by means of O-rings on pulleys. This shaft holds the suspension tube, which therefore rotates with the shaft. Inside the suspension tube is the coupling arm (also a tube) suspended at its midpoint from the suspension tube by means of a laminar suspension (drawn in red; see picture in Fig.4). The two test cylinders are suspended from the two ends of the coupling arm (the outer one from the top, the inner one from the bottom) by two more laminar suspensions (all 3 suspensions are manufactured to be equal; they are all drawn in red in Fig.2). Being metallic, they also ensure passive electrostatic discharging of the test masses. Fig.3 shows three light vertical bars and a horizontal ring used to connect the outer test cylinder to its suspension at the top of the coupling arm. The suspensions have the property of being soft in both the X and Y directions in the plane perpendicular to the symmetry/vertical axis, while at the same time being strong enough in the vertical direction in order to withstand local gravity. In this way the test cylinders-in spite of being concentric- are in fact suspended like in an ordinary beam balance, but with the beam of the balance (the coupling arm) in the vertical direction rather than in the horizontal one. The central suspension (connecting the midpoint of the beam to the suspension tube) is therefore the one which carries the whole weight of this balance, mostly due to the test cylinders themselves (10 kg each).

The read-out consists of two pairs of capacitance plates located halfway in between the test cylinders and connected to the suspension tube by means of an insulating frame (see picture in Fig.5 and section in Fig.2, in which they appear as vertical lines in between the test cylinders). They maintain the cylindrical symmetry of the system, forming two capacitance bridges in the X and Y directions of the plane perpendicular to the symmetry axis (the plane of sensitivity of the instrument). The two annular dishes (in yellow) mounted around the upper half of the suspension tube contain the 2 capacitance bridge circuits, their preamplifiers, the signal demodulators, the A/D (analog-to-digital) converters and the driver of the optical emitter, which is located at the very top of the rotating shaft (in order to transmit the demodulated signal from the rotor to the non rotating frame and then outside of the vacuum chamber). In the upper part of the shaft, above the ball bearings, are the rotating contacts for power transmission to the electronics of the rotor and a dish with a circuit for stabilizing this power. To this dish is also attached an optical device which provides a reference signal for the phase of the rotor. The passive damper is shown under the lowest laminar suspension, and is not rotating (see Sec.IV).

A differential force acting between the test cylinders in any direction in the horizontal plane of the laboratory will incline the balance beam -pivoted at its midpoint- with respect to the vertical, thus giving rise to a relative displacement of the centers of mass of the cylinders in the direction of the force. The resulting mechanical displacement will unbalance the capacitance bridges, thus allowing it to be transformed into an electric voltage signal. If the whole system (test cylinders plus read-out) rotates around the vertical shaft, the signal is modulated at the rotation frequency, just as in the GG space experiment (Fig.1). In case of an EP violation in the field of the Earth, two test cylinders of different composition should show (after transformation to the non rotating reference frame) a constant, relative displacement in the North-South direction of the horizontal plane. Instead, checking for violation in the field of the Sun requires to detect a (smaller) relative displacement vector in the same plane following the Sun in its daily motion with respect to the Earth fixed laboratory where the test bodies are located.

The instrument is therefore a rotating differential accelerometer sensitive in the horizontal plane. Its differential character comes from two features. The first is that the test cylinders are mechanically coupled so as to be sensitive to differential accelerations acting between them because of the geometry of their mounting. The second is that the
read-out too is differential: were all plates mounted exactly halfway in between the test cylinders (same clear gap on either side), it would be totally insensitive to common mode forces (i.e., to forces causing a displacement of both test cylinders together with respect to the capacitance plates). For a non-zero off-centering of the plates between the cylinders, the read-out is anyway less sensitive to common mode displacements than it is to differential ones, by a factor which is the ratio of the off-centering to the average gap: the better the plates are centered, the less sensitive is the read-out to common mode forces, the more suitable it is for EP testing. The sensitivity of the test cylinders to differential accelerations depends on the softness of the laminar suspensions and the balance of arms and masses in their coupled mounting. Soft suspensions and good balancing are needed, providing long natural periods of differential oscillations of the test cylinders with respect to one another. The longer the natural periods of differential oscillations, the larger the mechanical displacements of the test cylinders in response to differential accelerations, the stronger the output voltage signal. Soft suspensions and good balancing are also needed in order to reduce the residual differential fraction of forces which are common mode by their nature but do in fact produce also a differential effect on the test cylinders due to the inevitable imperfections in their mounting and balancing. Ideally, a common mode force should be perfectly rejected by the system, leaving no differential residual. By comparison, the test cylinders of the μSCOPE accelerometer (also based on capacitance sensing) are controlled with respect to the same silica frame but are not coupled, neither by the suspensions (each cylinder has its own -electrostatic- suspension) nor by the read-out (the differential data of interest are obtained as the difference of the individual readings of the capacitance sensors of each test cylinder [4, 5 Fig.1]).

Ordinary beam balances are known to be ideal instruments for extremely effective common mode rejection (rejection factors of $10^{-8} - 10^{-9}$ can be reached at 1-g). Also in the accelerometer designed for space (see Fig.6 and its caption) the test cylinders are coupled like in a beam balance -with the plane of sensitivity perpendicular to the beam as in Fig.2- and have a differential capacitance read-out. The geometry of the space accelerometer is perfectly symmetric, which is possible in the absence of weight because the direction of the beam is not the direction of a force many orders of magnitude larger than any force acting in the sensitivity plane perpendicular to it, as it is the case with the vertical balance of Fig.2 because of the local acceleration of gravity. In this case the advantage of the coupling of the test cylinders is retained in spite of a lack of symmetry in their suspension arms: the center of mass of the inner cylinder is very close to its suspension point, while the center of mass of the outer one is much farther from its own (see Fig.2). This asymmetry is a consequence of the special character of the vertical direction when operating at 1-g and it is an inevitable change from the perfect symmetry of the space design.

It is desirable that the spin rate be high, so as to get a correspondingly high frequency modulation of the signal and consequent reduction of $1/f$ mechanical and electronic noise. It is also desirable for the mechanical coupling between the test cylinders to be weak (long natural differential period $T_{diff}$), for them to be sensitive to differential forces like the one which would result from an EP violation, because the relative displacement due to a differential force increases as $T_{diff}^2$. This means that typically the system spins at a frequency higher than the natural frequency for differential oscillations of the test cylinders, in which case it is known that the spinning bodies do reduce any original offset vector between their centers of mass (fixed in the rotating system) inevitably due to imperfections in construction and mounting (see e.g. [17–19]). In simple terms, a weakly coupled and fast spinning rotor is an approximation to an ideal unconstrained rotor whose center of mass would have zero offset from the rotation axis.

The natural period $T_{diff}$ for differential oscillations of the test cylinders, one with respect to the other, in the vertical beam balance arrangement of Fig.2 (in the presence of both local gravity and mechanical coupling) can be written as:

$$T_{diff} \approx \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{ \frac{3K}{m} + \frac{g\Delta l}{2l}}},$$

with $m$ the mass of the test cylinder, $g$ the local acceleration of gravity, $2l$ the length of the coupling arm (with a difference $\Delta l > 0$ between its lower and upper half respectively) and $K$ the coupling constant (note that, for lateral flexures, $K$ is lower than the elastic constant of the laminar suspensions shown in Fig.4 and Fig.2 by a factor given by the ratio, squared, of the length of the laminar suspension itself to the length $l$ of the arm -“lever effect”). In Eq.1 the ratio $\Delta l/l$ accounts for both the balance of arms and masses ($\Delta m/m$) attached to the beam. The validity of Eq.1 is confirmed by numerical simulations and measurements, and shows well the relevance of gravity. If $\Delta l > 0$, gravity acts as a positive spring, thus increasing the stiffness of the coupling, i.e. reducing the length of $T_{diff}$. Instead, if $\Delta l < 0$, gravity acts as a negative spring and the ratio $\Delta l/l$ (indeed, $\Delta l/l$ and/or $\Delta m/m$) can be adjusted so as to reduce the denominator of Eq.1 whereby increasing the value of $T_{diff}$. We have verified this, obtaining differential periods of up to about 90 s, although so far the accelerometer has been operated with differential periods around 10 s.
Natural differential oscillations in the $X$ and $Y$ directions as detected by the capacitance read-out are shown in Fig.7 (zero spin rate, differential periods of 11 s). When the rotor spins at 3 Hz the differential displacements between the test cylinders measured by the (rotating) capacitance bridges show the same natural periods, in addition to the expected rotation frequency (see Fig.8). At zero spin the decreasing amplitude of oscillations allows the quality factor $Q$ of the system to be measured, yielding an average value of 510. The dominant losses are due to the laminar suspensions of the rotor as deformed at the low natural differential frequencies, and for large oscillation amplitudes (up to 1 mm). $Q$ measurements for the laminar suspensions alone -before assembling of the accelerometer- when set in horizontal oscillations at higher frequency (5 Hz) and similar oscillation amplitudes, have been performed (in vacuum), yielding $Q$ values of 2000 [6 Sec. 3.4, 23]. Better (higher) $Q$ values are expected at higher frequencies and for smaller oscillation amplitudes. However, once rotating, the suspensions are deformed at the frequency of spin and losses occur at this frequency, which is higher than the differential frequency, and should therefore result in higher $Q$s (see Sec.V).

Besides the natural frequency for differential oscillations the accelerometer system of Fig.2 has two additional natural frequencies, one slightly below and one slightly above 1 Hz. The first can be viewed as the pendular frequency (common mode) of the whole system; the second one as due to the inner test cylinder being suspended close to its center of mass and having a non zero moment of inertia with respect to the symmetry axis (if the inner mass is modeled as a point mass this frequency disappears). The predicted theoretical values of these natural frequencies have been confirmed by experimental measurements. In order to reach the spin rates of interest (above 1 Hz), the system must cross all these natural frequencies, and when passing the two nearby ones it can undergo large resonant disturbances. It has been suggested [20] that the system be simplified by substituting the laminar suspension of the inner test cylinder (the bottom one of the 3 sketched in Fig.2; see also Fig.4) with a solid brass cylinder of the same external dimensions. By simple readjustments of arms and masses we have set up the system for routine measurements with the natural period for differential oscillations close to 8 s and the pendular frequency slightly below 1 Hz. These two values were predicted theoretically and confirmed by measurements. $Q$ measurements at variable residual pressure in the vacuum chamber are reported in Sec.V. It is worth noting that in this arrangement the relevant whirl frequency of the test cylinders is split into two: a forward one, increasing with the spin rate, instead of remaining constant (as shown in Figs. 7 and 8) and a backward one. The reason is the following. A differential force acting between the test cylinders in their vertical beam balance arrangement causes a relative displacement of their centers of mass by inclining the coupling arm of the balance pivoted at its midpoint. In this case, if weakly suspended one at each end of the arm, the test cylinders keep spinning around their axes. However, if the inner test cylinder is rigidly connected to the end of the arm, the inclination of the arm forces it to spin along the arm itself, describing a whirl cone, while the angular momentum of the body would tend to conserve its vertical direction. The result is a stiffer or softer suspension of the inner test cylinder, depending on the sense of rotation and -since the two are coupled- also a period of their whirl motions shorter or longer than that of the natural oscillations, depending on whether whirl motion is in the same sense as the rotation or in the opposite one (see Sec.V). The whirl period relevant to the sensitivity of the accelerometer is the shorter one, corresponding to a stiffer coupling.

Spinning bodies are subject to gyroscopic effects, whereby they move not in the direction of the applied force but along the component of the external torque perpendicular to the spin axis. In a ground laboratory the gyroscopic effect for a body of mass $m$, angular momentum $\vec{L}$ and center of mass suspended with an arm $\vec{l}$ is due to the torque generated by the local gravity and to the angular velocity $\vec{\omega}_g$ of the Earth’s diurnal rotation around its axis:

$$\left(\frac{d\vec{L}}{dt}\right)_{lab} = (\vec{\Omega}_g - \vec{\omega}_d) \times \vec{L} \quad \left(\vec{\Omega}_g = -\frac{mgl}{L}, \quad \vec{l} \times m\vec{g} = \vec{\Omega}_g \times \vec{L}\right)$$

(2)

Gravity makes the body precess around the local vertical (unless the center of mass lies exactly on the vertical itself), while the non inertial nature of the laboratory reference frame (because of its diurnal rotation with the Earth) makes it precess around the Earth’s rotation vector; the suspensions produce a restoring force towards the vertical. Equilibrium is reached in the North-South direction, the only direction along which the acting torques can balance each other. The test cylinders of Fig.2 undergo different gyroscopic effects, resulting in a net relative displacement in the North-South direction. Its calculation shows a constant displacement at any given spin rate, and a linear increase with it, reaching several $\mu$m at a few Hz; if the laminar suspension of the inner test cylinder is substituted by a rigid connection the differential gyroscopic effect increases by about a factor of 10 (see measurements of gyroscopic effect in Sec.V). In both cases it is in the same direction as the effect of an EP violation in the gravitational field of the Earth, and much larger. Instead, a relative displacement due to an EP violation in the field of the Sun would show up as an additional vector following the daily motion of the Sun (the gyroscopic constant displacement can be subtracted away during data analysis or compensated by properly changing the verticality of the suspension shaft in the North-South direction).
For this reason the rotating differential accelerometer of Figs.2 and 3 can be used as a prototype test instrument of the one proposed for space and for testing the equivalence principle in the field of the Sun, but cannot be used for testing the equivalence principle in the field of the Earth. It is worth stressing that the gyroscopic effect would not affect the space instrument [6 Sec. 2.1.2]. Unlike what happens in a ground laboratory, the angular momentum vector of the rotor is almost fixed in space, undergoing only a slow precession (around the orbit normal) due to the fact that the spin axis is not exactly normal to the orbit plane and the moment of inertia with respect to the spin axis is the dominant one [6 Eq. 2.11] (the effect is similar to the luni-solar precession of the Earth’s axis around the normal to the ecliptic). The system is symmetrical and the test cylinders are suspended from their center of mass and symmetrically with respect to it (see Fig.6). The resulting gyroscopic effects are found to be totally negligible [6 Sec. 2.1.2, 21 Sec. 3.17].

III. ADJUSTMENTS AND SETTINGS OF THE APPARATUS

Various adjustments can be performed for the rotating differential accelerometer to operate as it is designed to. An inclination of the (rotating) coupling arm, about its midpoint, by a non zero (constant) angle from the vertical, gives rise to a constant relative displacement of the test cylinders fixed in the rotating frame. It is therefore detected by the (rotating) read-out as a constant offset from zero (in X and Y), which provides the driving signal for this adjustment. In order to reduce this offset the position of the top suspension (the one of the outer test cylinder; see Fig.2) can be adjusted so as to be as much as possible in line with the other 2 suspensions at the center and the bottom. This is the coarsest adjustment. Then, on the coupling arm, close to (just below) the central suspension, are mounted 2 small masses (5 g each) that can be displaced across the arm’s axis in the X and Y directions in order to reduce the corresponding offsets, and therefore the inclination of the arm. For yet a finer adjustment there are 2 additional smaller masses (0.5 g each), also movable in X and Y.

However, a constant offset in the X and Y measurements of the relative displacements between the centers of mass of the test cylinders as performed by the rotating capacitance bridges may also be due to the bridge capacitances being out of balance at zero mechanical displacement; which would require the variable capacitances in each bridge to be adjusted, and no change in the inclination of the coupling arm. In order to separate the two effects, and operate the right adjustment, we perform these measurements by spinning the rotor at a frequency first below and then above the natural one for differential oscillations of the test cylinders. If the offsets are due to the inclination of the coupling arm, i.e. to the test cylinders not being suspended along the same axis, it is known that that they should decrease when spinning above the natural frequency (see e.g.[17–19]). Once a non zero inclination of the coupling arm has been ruled out, we can proceed to reduce the offsets of the measurements by adjusting the variable capacitances. A few iterations of this procedure may be necessary.

Around the lower half of the coupling arm is mounted a small solid ring (see section in Fig.2), movable in the vertical direction. A change in its vertical position, by changing the mass distribution of the beam balance, will change the natural period of the differential oscillations (see discussion on Eq.(1)). Being symmetrical around the arm, the position of the ring does not affect its inclination. From an operational viewpoint, this is the easiest way to change and adjust the differential period of the test cylinders.

In the conceptual design of the differential accelerometer it is very important that the suspension shaft (the tube enclosing the coupling arm, held by a shaft turning inside ball bearings, to which rotation from the motor is transmitted by means of O-rings on pulleys; see Fig.2) be aligned with the local vertical. In the case of a non zero inclination of the suspension tube from the local vertical -due to the shaft not being mounted perfectly vertical in the laboratory reference frame- there will be a non zero lateral deformation of the central suspension which suspends the beam balance (see Fig.2), and a consequent relative displacement of the test cylinders. The displacement is fixed in the laboratory (non rotating) frame along the direction identified by the misalignment of the shaft and is modulated by the rotating capacitance bridges at their spin frequency. The X and Y bridge measurements are transformed into the X_{nr} and Y_{nr} relative displacements in the non rotating frame (see Sec.IV) where the coordinates of the fixed displacement indicate the direction of the deflection of the suspension shaft. They provide the driving signal for this adjustment, which is performed by means of 3 vertical micrometric screws (at 120° from one another) which control the inclination of the top plane of the frame around the shaft (see Fig.3), hence also its verticality. The micrometric screws are differential and allow both coarse and fine adjustments. A still finer adjustment of the verticality of the suspension shaft is performed by means of 3 (vertical) piezoelectric actuators (PTZs, also at 120° from one another) perpendicular to the horizontal plane at the top of the rotor, on which it rests. They allow finer adjustments of the verticality of the shaft than micrometric screws can do, and moreover they can be remotely controlled from outside the vacuum chamber. In addition, if the central suspension which carries the weight of the whole system is not centered on the rotation axis, the centrifugal force will compress the same PZTs at the frequency of spin. Their 3 signals are acquired by means of a National Instruments card and allow us to adjust the position of the central suspension on
the rotation axis by means of 3 micrometric screws mounted horizontally around it, so as to reduce the PZTs signals as much as possible.

As the systems spins the suspensions are deformed at the spin frequency and the relevant loss factors (inverse of quality factor \(Q\)) are those of the mechanical suspensions at the spin frequency. The effects of such dissipation are unstable forward whirl motions whose frequencies are close to the natural frequencies of the system. The destabilizing forces which generate the whirl motions are equal to the passive spring forces divided by the \(Q\). The magnitude of the forces is the same in the stationary and in the rotating frame; only their frequencies change. The forces required to achieve neutral equilibrium are equal and opposite to the destabilizing forces. They never exceed the passive spring forces as long as \(Q\) is larger than 1. For large \(Qs\) the destabilizing forces, as well as the active ones required for stabilization, are much smaller than the passive spring forces. This also means that the instabilities to be damped grow very slowly. The negative \(Q\) which determines the growth of the whirl motions is equal (with the opposite sign) to the \(Q\) of the suspensions at the frequency of spin [7, 19, 22].

In the rotating accelerometer of Fig.2 whirl motions can be stabilized either passively (by providing sufficient non rotating damping) or actively, by means of small capacitance sensors/actuators which must be controlled to counteract the destabilizing forces which generate the whirl motions. In the GG space experiment, where there are no non rotating parts (no motor is needed once the spacecraft is set in rotation at the nominal spin rate) whirl motions can only be actively controlled [7, 6 Ch.6]. In the differential accelerometer of Fig.2 a passive, non rotating damper, made of a very light disk with little radial blades immersed in oil for vacuum, is mounted on the inner test cylinder, below its suspension from the coupling arm (it is shown in yellow and gray in Fig.2, but only its base is visible in Fig.3). It stabilizes the whirl motion at the natural differential frequency of the test cylinders, as measurements show (see Sec.V). The passive damper is also equipped with a mechanism mounted in the vacuum chamber outside the accelerometer itself (it is clearly visible in Fig.3 in front of the accelerometer) that can be activated from outside the chamber in order to run the system with or without damping of whirl motion and to measure (when off) the whirl growth rate, which provides the \(Q\) of the system at the spin frequency (Sec.V). We can also use this on/off mechanism during testing of the active damper. The active damper (not shown in Figs.2 and 2) is made by 8 small capacitance plates facing the outer test cylinder (one layer of 4 sensors and one of 4 actuators, the 2 pairs of sensors forming the 2 halves of 2 capacitance bridges in the two coordinates of the horizontal plane). The electronics of these bridges is essentially the same as that of the bridges of the main sensors (Sec.IV, Fig.9) except for the fact that here smaller capacitances and less good sensitivity are needed. The signals from these 2 bridges drive the 4 (high) voltages for the 4 actuators.

IV. READ-OUT AND DATA ACQUISITION

The relative mechanical displacements of the test cylinders in the \(X\) and \(Y\) directions of the plane perpendicular to the spin axis are read by 2 capacitance bridges, rotating with the system, whose 4 sensing plates (Fig.5) are located in between the test cylinders with a clear gap of 5 mm on either side. The electronic circuit of each bridge is sketched in Fig.9. The smallest fractional capacitance unbalance that the circuit was sensitive to in bench tests corresponds to mechanical displacements of 5 picometer in 1 s of integration time. A voltage signal of high frequency is applied to the bridge in order to shift the signal of interest to a high frequency band with reduced \(1/f\) noise. Since the capacitance bridges rotate with the accelerometer, power and data transfer must be ensured between the rotating and the non rotating frame. For power transfer we use rotating contacts. The high frequency bridge measurements are first demodulated and then converted from analog to digital to be optically transferred outside the vacuum chamber. The (rotating) electronics which is needed to perform these tasks, as well as the electronics of the bridges, is located on an annular dish mounted around the suspension tube (Figs.2 and 3).

In order to be able to transform the relative displacements as measured by the bridges in the rotating frame of the rotor to the non spinning reference frame of the laboratory, we need to know, in correspondence of each data point, also the phase angle of the rotor. For this purpose a simple optical device has been mounted at the top of the rotor which provides a reference signal with the rotor phase information. A microprocessor outside the chamber takes care of combining the reference signal with the \(X\) and \(Y\) measurements and of providing the resulting combined data in RS232 data format for computer acquisition (through a serial port) as a binary file which is then transformed into a text file for data analysis. The reference signal is also acquired, independently of the capacitance bridges data, by another computer (through a National Instruments card) for independent checks of the spin rate of the system and for various other tests to ensure that the data combination procedure has been performed correctly.

The capacitance bridges are calibrated by displacing the outer test cylinder with respect to the inner one by a known amount (by means of a micrometric screw mounted on the frame for this purpose only; not shown in Fig.3) and recording the voltage signal read by the capacitance sensors. Displacements are applied in both \(X\) and \(Y\) directions and linearity checks of the calibration curve are performed in both cases.
The electric zero of the capacitance bridges is first set at its nominal value, by setting the value of the variable capacitance of the circuit (Fig.9). More accurate checks are performed with the system in rotation, first below and then above the natural frequency of differential oscillations of the test cylinders, as discussed in Sec.IV.

Mechanical balancing should be achieved to ensure that the capacitance plates of the bridges be located halfway in between the outer surface of the inner test cylinder and the inner surface of the outer one, a configuration which provides the best sensitivity to differential displacements. The capacitance plates shown in Fig.5 (2 for each one of the 2 bridges in the $X$ and $Y$ directions), are rigidly connected (via an insulating frame) to the suspension tube (see Fig.2). The linear dimensions of the frame are dictated by the linear dimensions of the test cylinders (outer radius of inner cylinder and inner radius of the outer one), which are chosen on the basis of the desired gap between the two. Since all parts are precisely manufactured according to the design (their dimensions are checked a posteriori to less than 1 $\mu$m with a 3D measuring machine equipped with a contact point sensor) it is possible to design and manufacture the insulating frames of the plates (see Fig.5) so that they provide a configuration as close as possible to the nominal one corresponding to perfect mechanical balancing. This procedure has provided considerable improvement with respect to a previous set up in which all parts of the frame were manufactured, mounted and adjusted independently.

V. RESULTS FROM MEASUREMENT DATA

In this Section we report the results obtained during several months of operation of the rotating differential accelerometer as outlined above, with only 2 relevant natural frequencies. The results concern the quality factor of the system (at the natural differential frequency and at the spin frequency), the differential gyroscopic effect, the growth rate of whirl motion and the stability in time of the differential displacement vector between the test cylinders.

Fig.10 shows the differential oscillations of the test cylinders in the $X$ and $Y$ directions (at about 8 s; zero spin rate). The slow decay in the oscillation amplitudes yields a $Q$ value of 1590. This value has been obtained in vacuum with a residual air pressure of $2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ mbar.

By performing $Q$ measurements at different pressures it was possible to establish that residual air in between the test cylinders gives rise to dissipation. Losses due to air friction linearly decrease with pressure until they remain constant and no longer depend on the decreasing pressure (below a few $10^{-5}$ mbar). Fig.11 shows well this phenomenon and indicates that, as long as the system is operated at sufficiently low pressure, losses depend on the laminar suspensions only. Note that $Q = 1590$, as from Fig.10 for oscillations at $\approx 8$ s, is about 3 times better than the $Q$ value previously obtained (at 11 s; see Fig.7).

It is very important to check that gyroscopic effects are as theoretically expected. For this reason numerous measurements have been performed, at various spin frequencies both in clockwise and counterclockwise rotation. Relative gyroscopic displacements of the test cylinders are expected in the North-South direction of the horizontal plane of the laboratory (towards South for counterclockwise rotation, towards North for clockwise rotation), and the amount of the displacement should increase linearly with the spin rate. Measurements reported in Fig.12 (with a fit to a straight line) show agreement with the theoretical predictions. Each data point in the plot has been obtained from the raw data of the capacitance bridges (in the rotating reference frame) acquired as discussed in Sec.IV, by coordinate transformation to the non spinning laboratory frame (see Sec.IV) and after averaging out of short periodic variations.

The fit is good, but the amount of the displacement is higher than originally expected. The disagreement is explained once the effect is calculated taking into account that the laminar suspension of the inner test cylinder (at the bottom end of the coupling arm) had been replaced by a solid brass cylinder connecting it to the lower half of the coupling arm, suspended from the central laminar suspension. At any given spin rate the relative gyroscopic displacement of the test cylinders, plus any original deviation of the suspension shaft from the local vertical, produce a relative displacement vector fixed in the non spinning reference frame of the laboratory. Any smaller, slowly changing differential effect must be detected as an additional relative vector moving around this fixed displacement. The smaller the deviation from a fixed displacement, the more sensitive is the accelerometer to low frequency differential effects (such as the one due to an EP violation in the field of the Sun, with a 24-hr period). The fixed displacement can be subtracted away during data analysis; however, once the nominal spin rate has been chosen, the verticality of the suspension shaft (in the laboratory frame) can be adjusted (as discussed in Sec.III) so as to compensate for the gyroscopic effect at the working spin rate.

Although whirl motions at the natural frequencies can be damped, it is very important to know how rapidly they grow, i.e. how strong are the destabilizing forces (due to losses in the suspensions at the spin frequency) which need to be counteracted. It is apparent that, the slower is the growth rate of whirl motions, the easier it is to stabilize the system, the smaller are the perturbations caused by the required damping on the signal of interest [7].

We have therefore performed long runs (up to several hours) with the accelerometer spinning at a few Hz and no damping applied (neither passive nor active). Pressure in the chamber was low enough to rule out any dissipation due
to residual air (see Fig.11) and data from the capacitance bridge sensors were taken continuously in order to monitor the growth of oscillation amplitudes (whirl motions at the natural frequencies in the laboratory frame show up as oscillations close to the spin frequency in the reading of the X and Y relative displacements of the test cylinders by the rotating capacitance bridges). We have runs of 3.5 hr in which no appreciable growth in the oscillation amplitude could be detected. A shorter run is shown in Fig.13 (at a spin rate of 2.5 Hz), in which the oscillation amplitude of an undamped whirl motion at 0.74 Hz shows a growth corresponding to a (negative) $Q$ of 4900, which is therefore (with the positive sign) the quality factor of the system at the frequency of spin. We can compare it to a $Q$ of about 2000 measured for the laminar suspension only (Fig.4), by setting it in oscillation at 5 Hz and monitoring the decay with time of the oscillation amplitude. The measurement was performed for horizontal oscillation only, for the measured $Q$ not to be affected by local gravity; the amplitude of the oscillations was much larger [6 Sec 3.4, 23].

The relevant physical quantity which remains to be measured is the stability in time of the relative position of the test cylinders in the horizontal plane of the laboratory, non rotating, frame after short periodic effects have been filtered out. Fig.14 gives an example. It shows, for a run at 2.5 Hz, the relative displacements of the test cylinders in the horizontal plane of the laboratory after coordinate transformation of the capacitance bridges measurements from the rotating reference frame to the non rotating one. The curve gives the relative motion as time goes by, roughly represented with color: from blue at the beginning to green at the end of the run. The motion occurs away from the origin (zero relative displacement), around an equilibrium position vector (vector head plotted as a black dot) dominated by the gyroscopic effect (towards the South of the laboratory plane in this case because the accelerometer spins counterclockwise). This equilibrium vector is computed as the average of the vectors (whose heads are plotted as red dots), which are obtained from the average over the whirl period (3.5 s at 2.5 Hz spin frequency; the decrease from the value of the differential period observed at zero spin, shown in Fig.10, is due to the inner test cylinder being rigidly connected to the bottom end of the coupling arm, as pointed out in Sec.II). By performing a continuous run of measurements, with the accelerometer spinning at a given spin rate, we can compute, for various data sets of the same run, the coordinates of the black dot, and check its stability in time. The more stable it is, the better is the instrument sensitivity. The best result obtained so far is a stability of 1.5 $\mu$m in 1 hr (at a spin rate of 2.5 Hz).

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have built a rotating differential accelerometer, at room temperature, with fast spinning test cylinders (10 kg each) suspended like in a vertical beam balance so as to be weakly coupled in the horizontal plane. In spite of the need to sustain its weight, the coupled system is very sensitive to differential forces acting between the test cylinders in the horizontal plane; in addition, the read-out is made of capacitance bridges which read the relative displacements of the test cylinders directly (instead of deriving them as the difference of their individual displacements). This makes the accelerometer well suited for detecting tiny differential effects; by comparison, the proposed $\mu$SCOPE accelerometer (also at room temperature and based on capacitance sensors) is not inherently differential because each test cylinder has an independent suspension and sensing system (although both cylinders are controlled with respect to the same silica frame) [4, 5 Fig.1]. The quality factor of the system has been measured at the spin frequency, as well as at the low frequency of differential oscillations (when at zero spin rate). The results are consistent with those obtained in previous measurements for losses in the mechanical suspensions alone [7, 23]. Unstable whirl motions which are predicted because of such losses have been found to grow very slowly, according to the $Q$ values, and therefore very small forces are needed to stabilize them (see [7] for the relevance of this issue). Gyroscopic effects have been measured and shown to be in agreement with their theoretical prediction. Finally, it is found that the stability of the present prototype is such that, at 2.5 Hz spin rate and 3.5 s period of whirl, the 10 kg mass test cylinders remain within 1.5 $\mu$m from each other for 1 hr.

These results are relevant for the space variant of this instrument, proposed for the GG space mission, in several respects. Losses in the system and whirl motions are in agreement with predictions, giving us confidence in the theoretical analysis and numerical simulations of the GG dynamical system carried out so far [6 Ch. 6]. The relevant quality factor, as measured with the accelerometer in full operation, is only a factor 4 smaller than the quality factor required in the GG error budget for its target sensitivity in EP testing of $10^{-17}$: 4900 instead of the 20000 value required [6 Sec. 2.2.7]. (Note that we have measured $Q = 19000$ for a low stiffness CuBe suspension, suitable for use in space, when set in horizontal oscillation at 5 Hz [7, 23]). The read-out (mechanical parts and electronics), data acquisition and data analysis (including the need for accurate coordinate transformation from the rotating to the non rotating frame of reference) are of direct relevance to the space instrument and its operation. The stability observed in the relative position of the test cylinders can be compared with the GG requirement as follows. The spin rate is almost the same (the nominal spin rate of GG is 2 Hz), but the test cylinders in space can be coupled much more weakly than on the ground, thanks to the absence of weight: we have 3.5 s whirl period in our recent measurement runs and expect to be able to reach 540 s in space (as in the GG mission baseline at Phase A study level [6]), the
response of the test cylinders to differential forces being proportional to the square of the differential period. An EP violation signal would have a well defined signature (frequency and phase), in both the ground and the space experiment, so the relevant sensitivity of the instrument has to be assessed for this target signal. In space (Fig.1) the signal is a relative displacement vector of fixed length pointing to the Earth and therefore changing direction with the orbital period of the spacecraft. On the ground it is a fixed displacement in the North-South direction if the source mass under consideration is the Earth; it is a displacement vector whose length and direction change with the daily (and also annual) motion of the Sun if the Sun is the source mass. In all cases, the rotation of the instrument provides higher frequency modulation of the displacement vector. For GG to reach its target sensitivity, the relative displacement of the test cylinders in the satellite-to-Earth direction, modulated at the high frequency of spin and then transformed into a constant signal in the non rotating reference frame, should not exceed $6 \cdot 10^{-11}$ cm [6 Sec. 2.1.1]. Since the length of the differential period of the test cylinders can be increased, according to Eq.(1) -although never to the value that can be obtained in space in absence of weight- what is important in ground tests is to achieve the sensitivity which corresponds to the given differential period of the system. By scaling down from the GG target to account for the stiffer coupling during our recent measurements, it is found that the relative displacements of the test cylinders on the ground should not exceed $1.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ µm, while the current measurements give 1.5 µm, which is a factor 100 too large. This gap can be filled because the main limiting factors have been identified and they shall be removed in a second generation prototype currently under construction.

At present the instrument limitations are in the rejection of common mode disturbances and in the accuracy of coordinate transformation (from rotating to non rotating reference frame) on which all subsequent data analysis relies. Signal modulation at the high frequency of spin is the basic idea of this instrument, but in order to be fully exploited it requires demodulation (i.e. reference system transformation) to be accurately performed. Both these limitations can be removed. The easiest way to improve common mode rejection, given the practical limitations to the linear dimensions of the system, is by mounting weaker suspensions (a smaller $K$ in Eq.(1)); the effect of the change can be anticipated by numerical simulations of the real system. The weaker suspensions shall have thinner but wider strips, so that weight can be sustained. All 3 laminar suspensions (i.e., also the bottom one) shall be mounted, because the difficulties in resonance crossing which motivated the substitution of the lower suspension with a solid cylinder have been overcome. As for the reference system transformation, whose importance for the experiment has been stressed [6 Ch. 6, 7], it depends on the information on the phase of the rotor in correspondence to the measurement data taken by the (rotating) read-out system. We are testing (with an ad hoc system, separately from the accelerometer) an improved rotating electronics which has hard wired information on the phase of each data point. This is obtained by means of a 32 – hole metal ring (not rotating) mounted near the top of the rotor in which an optical device triggers, via each hole, the acquisition of 1 data point when the system rotates; an additional, separate hole on the ring is used to trigger the reference signal itself. The new electronics and the 32 – hole metal ring shall soon be integrated in the rotating accelerometer.

The local acceleration of gravity, because of the need for a stiff suspension in the vertical direction, forces a few asymmetries in the design of the ground accelerometer which are not there in the instrument designed for space (as it is apparent by comparing Fig.2 and Fig.6). This reduces the advantages of the instrument for EP testing on the ground, especially because of gyroscopic effects and the consequent need to check for violation in the gravitational field of the Sun and not of the Earth. Nevertheless, rotation (especially if at high rate) -and the corresponding frequency modulation of the signal- is extremely important, as the successful experiments by the “Eötvös” group have demonstrated, in EP testing [1–3] as well as in the measurement of the universal constant of gravity [24] and in testing the inverse square law at sub-mm distances [25]. Our accelerometer shows that fast rotation can be achieved, that it can be achieved with large test masses (which is very important to reduce thermal noise), that it is compatible with small force gravitation measurements and -most importantly- that is suitable for use in space. The dynamics of the system is understood, it can be theoretically anticipated and checked by the measurements. Losses measured with the full system in operation (and with mechanical suspensions of quite a complex shape; see Fig.4), yield a quality factor only 4 times smaller than the value that is required for the GG space experiment to reach its target. The sensitivity of the system is still a factor 100 short of what is required in space, once accounted for the stiffer coupling of the test cylinders, but it can be improved after some hardware modifications. We also plan to operate our second generation prototype at longer differential periods, so as to reduce the scaling in stiffness between the ground and the space instrument. In summary, we can convincingly argue that theoretical understanding, numerical modeling and experimental measurements performed so far put on solid grounds the novel idea of a high accuracy space test of the equivalence principle (to 1 part in $10^{17}$) with fast rotating weakly coupled test cylinders as proposed for the GG small mission. It has been shown [9] that fast rotation and large mass of the test bodies are pivotal in making it possible to aim at such a high accuracy test in space with an experiment at room temperature. Among the proposed space experiments, GG is the only one in which the accelerometer devoted to EP testing and the one used for zero check (i.e with test bodies made of the same material) are both centered at the center of mass of the spacecraft, so as to reduce common mode tidal effects and improve the reliability of the zero check. It is also the only one for which a
full scale prototype of the accelerometer has been built and can be operated and tested on the ground.
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FIG. 1: Section across the spin/symmetry axis of the GG outer and inner test cylinders (of different composition) as they orbit around the Earth inside a co-rotating, passively stabilized spacecraft (not shown). The centers of mass of the test cylinders are shown to be displaced towards the center of the Earth as in the case of a violation of the equivalence principle in the field of the Earth (indicated by the arrows). The signal is modulated at the spin frequency of the system (2 Hz with respect to the center of the Earth). The figure is not to scale (taken from [9]).
FIG. 2: (Color) Section through the spin axis of the differential accelerometer inside the vacuum chamber (drawing to scale; inner diameter of vacuum chamber 1 m; see text for a description of its parts).
FIG. 3: The rotating differential accelerometer mounted inside the vacuum chamber (in the basement of the LABEN laboratories in Florence).

FIG. 4: One of the 3 laminar suspensions used in the accelerometer (sketched in red in Fig.2). They are carved out of a solid bar of CuBe by electroerosion in 3D and properly treated for high mechanical quality.
FIG. 5: The 4 capacitance plates (with their insulating frames) forming the 2 capacitance bridges of the read-out. They are mounted halfway in between the concentric test cylinders to read their relative displacements (see section in Fig.2).
FIG. 6: (Color) Section through the spin axis of the differential accelerometers of the proposed GG mission for testing the equivalence principle in low Earth orbit. There are 4 test cylinders (10 kg each), one inside the other, all centered at the same point (nominally, the center of mass of the spacecraft) forming 2 differential accelerometers: the inner one for EP testing (cylinders of different composition; shown in green and blue respectively) and the outer one for zero check (cylinders made of the same material; both shown in brown). In each accelerometer the 2 test cylinders are coupled to form a beam balance by being suspended at their top and bottom from the 2 ends of a coupling arm made of 2 concentric tubes (each tube suspends one test cylinder at each end, which makes it asymmetric top/down; however, the two of them together form a symmetric coupling). All 4 tubes (2 for each coupling arm) are suspended at their midpoints from the same suspension shaft (the longest vertical tube in figure). In all cases the suspensions are \( \bigcup \)-shape (or \( \bigcap \)-shape) thin strips (shown in red), to be carved out of a solid piece of CuBe. At each connection there are 3 of them, at 120° from one another (the planar section in figure shows 2 for explanatory purposes only). There are capacitance plates (connected to the suspension shaft) for the read-out of differential displacements in between each pair of test cylinders (shown as yellow lines in section). The 8 small cylinders drawn along the symmetry axis are inchworms for the fine adjustment of the lengths of the coupling arms in order to center each test mass on the center of mass of the spacecraft. The whole system is symmetric around the spin axis as well as top/down. The two accelerometers are both centered at the center of mass of the spacecraft in order to reduce common mode tidal effects and improve the reliability of the zero check.
FIG. 7: Relative displacements, in the $X$ and $Y$ directions of the sensitivity plane, between the centers of mass of the test cylinders at zero spin rate. The natural periods of differential oscillations at 11 s are apparent. The amplitudes of these oscillations are slowly decreasing with time, yielding a quality factor of about 510. (Taken from [23]).

FIG. 8: Relative displacements (for the $Y$ direction only, in the rotating reference frame) obtained with the same instrument as in Fig.7 but having brought it to a rotation rate of 3 Hz. The natural differential oscillation at about 11 s period (the same as at zero spin) is apparent, as it is the faster rotation frequency of the system at 3 Hz.
FIG. 9: The capacitance bridge sensor circuits used in the accelerometer of Figs. 2 and 3 for the read-out of the relative displacements of the test cylinders.

FIG. 10: Relative displacements, in the $X$ and $Y$ directions of the sensitivity plane, between the centers of mass of the test cylinders at zero spin rate. The natural periods of differential oscillations are of about 8 s. The amplitudes of these oscillations are slowly decreasing with time; data sets taken at subsequent times -under no changes in the system- yield a quality factor of about 1590. Residual air pressure during this measurement is of $2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ mbar.
FIG. 11: Log-Log plot of the $1/Q$ value of the natural differential oscillations ($\approx 8$ s period), at zero spin rate, as function of the residual air pressure in the chamber with linear best fits to the two sets of data, above and below $10^{-3}$ mbar. Each point refers to a separate run. For pressures greater than about $10^{-3}$ mbar the value of $Q$ decreases as pressure increases. For lower pressures the value of $Q$ reaches about 1590 and is then independent of pressure since it is the maximum value allowed by losses in the laminar suspensions.

FIG. 12: Relative displacements (crosses) of the test cylinders, fixed in the horizontal plane of the laboratory, as function of the spin frequency and the sense of rotation, with linear fit to a straight line (on the frequency axis, counterclockwise spin frequencies are indicated as positive, clockwise ones as negative). The linear increase with the spin rate and the change of sign can be ascribed to the gyroscopic effect. The offset at zero spin is due to the inclination of the suspension shaft from the vertical.
FIG. 13: Amplitude of the relative displacements between the test cylinders once transformed in the non rotating plane of the laboratory (at a spin rate of 2.5 Hz). The growth in oscillation amplitude is due to an undamped whirl motion at 0.74 Hz. Its growth is represented by the exponential curve, and is due to losses in the system (at the spin frequency) corresponding to a $Q$ value of 4900.

FIG. 14: (Color) Relative displacements of the test cylinders in the horizontal (non rotating) plane of the laboratory with the accelerometer spinning at 2.5 Hz (counterclockwise). The position of relative equilibrium (the black dot at the middle of the figure) is displaced towards South (in this plot North is at 45°, i.e. in the $+X$, $+Y$ direction) because of the gyroscopic effect and short periodic relative motions occur around it. Average over the differential whirl period gives the red dots from whose average the black dot is obtained, thus defining the relative equilibrium vector for this data set (lasting 660 s) of a run lasting about 1 hr.